

## Bird-biting mosquitoes on farms in southern England

Victor Albert Brugman,<sup>1,2</sup> Jolyon M Medlock,<sup>3,4</sup> James G Logan,<sup>2</sup> Anthony J Wilson,<sup>1</sup> Steve W Lindsay,<sup>2,5</sup> Anthony R Fooks,<sup>6,7</sup> Peter P C Mertens,<sup>1,8</sup> Nicholas Johnson,<sup>6,9</sup> Simon T Carpenter<sup>1</sup>

Mosquitoes that blood-feed on avian hosts are important vectors of many arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses). In Europe, these include West Nile virus (WNV), Usutu virus (USUTV) and Sindbis virus.<sup>1-3</sup> These are all maintained in enzootic bird-mosquito-bird cycles and are important veterinary and medical threats to the UK.<sup>45</sup> Principally, veterinary concerns lie with the risks to domestic animals, such as the incidental spillover infection of horses with WNV which may lead to serious neurological sequelae.<sup>67</sup> Wildlife may also be affected, with certain wild birds being highly susceptible to infection and death with USUTV,<sup>8</sup> although poultry are less susceptible.9 10 To date, UK surveillance for these viruses has not yielded evidence of active virus transmission<sup>11-14</sup> although serological evidence has been reported.<sup>15 16</sup>

Farms provide larval habitat for the development of a wide diversity of mosquitoes<sup>17–19</sup> close to domestic animals and wildlife. Previously, we reported empirical data of mosquitoes on farms in the UK feeding on both resident and migratory birds.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, some of these species feed on humans at farm sites,<sup>21</sup>

## Veterinary Record (2018)

<sup>1</sup>Entomology group, The Pirbright Institute, Woking, UK <sup>2</sup>Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Entomology & Zoonoses Ecology, Emergency Response Department, Public Health England, Salisbury, UK <sup>4</sup>Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging Infections & Zoonoses. Salisbury, UK <sup>5</sup>Department of Biosciences, Durham University, Durham, UK <sup>6</sup>Animal and Plant Health Agency, Weybridge, UK

## doi: 10.1136/vr.104830

<sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Infection, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK <sup>8</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, The University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington, UK <sup>9</sup>Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

E-mail for correspondence: vab@evolutionbiotech.com

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Received December 18, 2017 Revised July 4, 2018 Accepted July 6, 2018 demonstrating the potential for spillover of viruses into these populations.

Studies using animal-baited traps provide data on the biting rates on key hosts.<sup>22</sup> Several investigations using bird-baited traps (BBT) have been undertaken in Europe (eg, Czech Republic,<sup>23</sup> France,<sup>2425</sup> Portugal<sup>26</sup> and Sweden<sup>27</sup>) but UK data are limited to a single study.<sup>28</sup> This investigation aimed to identify the ornithophilic activity of UK farm-associated mosquitoes using BBTs run alongside standard artificial surveillance traps.

The study was conducted between June and October 2013 on four mixed livestock farms in Oxfordshire, Kent, Hampshire and Surrey (see table 1 for habitat classifications according to Laird<sup>29</sup>). This region is considered to be at high risk of potential outbreaks as it is the warmest part of the country during the summer and early autumn when the biting activity of mosquitoes is likely to be highest. Trapping was conducted overnight (~12 hours) for nine nights on each farm using two BBTs, one set at 1 m and the second set at 4 m from the ground. A Mosquito Magnet Pro trap (MMP) (Midgetech, Stirling, UK) baited with 1-octen-3-ol was placed approximately 100 m away. A one-hour human landing catch (HLC) was additionally performed by one collector starting 30 minutes before sunset.

BBTs used chickens as bait and were constructed from pine stripwood, galvanised wire mesh and insectproof netting (BioQuip, California, USA) (figure 1). Mosquitoes entered the trap via two gutter-like 'baffles' and were trapped in the top and side sections from where they were aspirated. The traps were modified from their original design<sup>24</sup> following discussion with the Home Office where prevention of biting was recommended. Contact between chickens and mosquitoes was, therefore, prevented via an internal netting layer. Floor space was also increased, and a perch bar added to ensure the chickens were not stressed. Six chickens (ISA/Warren crossbreed) were maintained on a standard diet of layer pellets and two randomly allocated to each trap per evening; food (layer pellets and mixed corn) and water were provided throughout. Preliminary Table 1Details of each farm together with habitat classifications presenton each according to Laird, 29 as follows: (1) flowing streams; (2) pondedstreams; (3) lake edges; (4) swamps and marshes; (5) shallow permanentponds; (6) shallow temporary pools; (7) intermittent ephemeral puddles;(8) natural containers; (9) artificial containers; (10) natural subterraneanwaters; (11) artificial subterranean waters

Farm location	Livestock present	General description	Habitat categories							
Oxfordshire (51.714399, –1.389034)	Sheep, cattle, horses	Inland lowland farm surrounded by small villages and other agricultural holdings. Liable to spring and winter flooding due to proximity to the Thames.	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9							
Kent (51.377201, 0.783809)	Sheep, cattle	Coastal grazing marsh in the Thames estuary. Large numbers of UK-resident and local migratory birds present.	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9							
Hampshire (50.822415, -0.952401)	Sheep, cattle	Coastal grazing marsh and mixed arable farm on Hayling Island.	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9							
Surrey (51.32052, -0.637904)	Cattle	Smallholding bordered by woodland and close to Her Majesty's Prison Coldingley.	2, 6, 7, 9							

field testing, conducted inside an insect-proof tent (Insectopia, Austrey, UK) and using *Culex pipiens* sensu lato (sl) from The Pirbright Institute colony placed into the collection section of the trap overnight, showed that the BBTs retained 24-65 per cent of mosquitoes compared with 0-12 per cent when unbaited.

Collected mosquitoes were identified morphologically using standard keys.<sup>30 31</sup> Specimens identified as *Cx pipiens* sl/*Cx torrentium* and *Anopheles maculipennis* sl were then delineated using previously described molecular methods.<sup>20 32</sup>

A total of 610 unfed female mosquitoes, of 16 species or species complexes, were collected (table 2). All farms, except the Oxfordshire site, yielded mosquitoes. The BBTs collected three species/species complexes: *Cx pipiens* sl/*Cx torrentium* (of all specimens collected in the study, 37/40 were *Cx pipiens* form (f) *pipiens*; three specimens were not fully identifiable), *Cx modestus* and *Coquillettidia richiardii*. The latter two species were also collected by HLC and in the MMP. Collectively, this supports their role as potential enzootic<sup>20</sup> and bridge<sup>21</sup> vectors for arboviruses in the UK,<sup>5 33</sup> and validates the utility of the MMP as a tool for collecting them.<sup>14</sup> The ornithophilic species *Cx pipiens* f *pipiens* was the most numerous species collected in the BBTs; it was, however, also collected by HLC at the Kent site, providing further evidence for the occurrence of human-biting by this ecoform in Kent.<sup>21</sup>

The MMP collected the greatest number of mosquitoes overall (n=398), while the BBTs collected far fewer specimens (n=22), averaging 1.00 mosquito/trap/night (range 0-6) in Kent, 0.17 (0-2) in Hampshire and 0.06(0-1) in Surrey. These numbers were too low to permit a comparison between trap heights (high position n=10, low position n=12). Vertical stratification of mosquito populations has been reported across Europe, including the UK,<sup>23 25 28 34 35</sup> although results are difficult to compare directly between the different trapping strategies used. Here, the absence of many mosquitoes in the BBTs, despite their collection by other methods, may reflect a low intrinsic ornithophily, the unattractiveness of chickens to these species (although chickens are widely used as arbovirus sentinels<sup>16 36</sup>), or most likely result from the constraints of trap design. Anopheles atroparvus, for example, was previously found to feed

Table 2      The total number of mosquitoes collected during the study													
	Kent farm				Hampshire farm				Surrey farm				
Species	BBH	BBL	HLC	MMP	BBH	BBL	HLC	MMP	BBH	BBL	HLC	MMP	Total
Aedes geniculatus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Ae cantans/annulipes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	13
Ae caspius/dorsalis	0	0	1	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	10
Ae detritus	0	0	6	11	0	0	32	30	0	0	0	0	79
Ae flavescens	0	0	22	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
Ae punctor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	9	44
Ae rusticus	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10
Aedes species (damaged)	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Anopheles atroparvus	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
An claviger	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
An plumbeus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Coquillettidia richiardii	0	2	23	141	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166
Culiseta annulata	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	31
Cumorsitans	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Cu subochrea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Culex modestus	0	1	42	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	93
Cx pipiens form (f) pipiens	8	6	3	14	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	37
Cxpipiens sensu lato (sl)*	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Cx pipiens sl/Cx torrentium†	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Totals per farm	8	10	114	316	2	1	33	33	0	1	43	49	610

No mosquitoes were collected from the Oxfordshire farm, therefore this site is omitted from the table

\*Specimens separated from Cx torrentium but could not be separated to ecoform.

†Specimens which could not be separated

BBH, bird-baited trap 'high' position; BBL, bird-baited trap 'low' position; HLC, human landing catch; MMP, Mosquito Magnet Pro trap, baited with 1-octen-3-ol.



Figure 1 The bird-baited trap design: (A) isometric projection (produced using Google SketchUp) with one side additionally isolated to show the route of mosquito entry via the gutter baffle (x=700 mm, y=1200 mm, z=500 mm); (B) the interior portion of the trap showing double protective mesh, perch bar and food/water containers; (C) front view of trap with chickens inside, shown during the day and at night via thermal image taken with a Testo 875-1 Thermal Imaging Camera; (D) the trap secured using guide ropes in the high position (~4 m).

on chickens in Kent<sup>20</sup> but here was absent from the BBTs. *Anopheles* species generally fly upwards upon hitting a vertical surface<sup>37</sup> and thus the gutter design may have lessened the chances of entry for mosquitoes of this genus. Furthermore, unlike in the original design, mosquitoes were prevented from feeding on the birds which may have resulted in greater escape from the trap, as shown in other studies<sup>38 39</sup> and as indicated by the variability in observed retention rates in the preliminary experiments. The recorded numbers may, therefore, be underestimates of true ornithophilic mosquito activity on these sites. Conversely, the numbers do fall within the range of the previous UK bird-baited trapping study which reported a combined mean of 1.05 mosquitoes/ night for *Cx pipiens* sl and *Culiseta morsitans*.<sup>28</sup>

Despite the challenges of using animal-baited mosquito traps, the data generated using BBTs in this study are important to complement and validate data on mosquito host-seeking and feeding behaviour gained from surveillance studies, intensive HLCs<sup>21</sup> and blood meal analyses.<sup>20</sup> The results also demonstrate that farms with the same apparent habitat types present (Kent and Hampshire) may support a vastly different mosquito species diversity. Collectively, the ornithophilic and anthropophilic behaviour of farm-associated mosquitoes highlights their potential importance in enzootic and bridge arbovirus transmission in the event of a UK outbreak. Given current concerns regarding the invasion of exotic arboviruses,<sup>40</sup> it would be prudent to increase awareness among the equine veterinary

community in particular of clinical signs of mosquitoborne arboviruses in horses. These workers can play a key role in maintaining expertise in the wider community<sup>41</sup> and offer preventive advice in the event of an outbreak. The simplest practical control measure targeted at mosquitoes would be to regularly empty stagnant water sources to disrupt larval habitats,<sup>42</sup> which would be particularly important in reducing populations of key vector species *Cx pipiens* sl.<sup>43 44</sup>

**Acknowledgements** We gratefully acknowledge the support of all the farms, farm workers and research groups involved in the study, particularly those involved with routine chicken care. We thank Thomas Balenghien for providing details of the original bird-baited trap design.

**Funding** The project was conducted as part of VAB's PhD funded by the UK's Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC, grant number BB/F016492/1), and The Pirbright Institute. ARF and NJ were financially supported by the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Scottish and Welsh governments (Defra grant SV3045).

Competing interests None declared.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/.

 ${\ensuremath{\textcircled{}}}$  British Veterinary Association 2018. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

## References

- 1 Rizzoli A, Jimenez-Clavero MA, Barzon L, *et al*. The challenge of West Nile virus in Europe: knowledge gaps and research priorities. *Euro Surveill* 2015;20:21135.
- Hesson JC, Lundström JO, Tok A, *et al.* Temporal variation in sindbis virus antibody prevalence in bird hosts in an endemic area in Sweden. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0162005.
  Cadar D, Lühken R, van der leugd H. *et al.* Widespread activity of multiple lineages of
- Gadar D, Lühken R, van der Jeugd H, *et al.* Widespread activity of multiple lineages of Usutu virus, western Europe, 2016. *Euro Surveill* 2017;22:30452.

Veterinary Record: first published as 10.1136/vr.104830 on 11 August 2018. Downloaded from http://veterinaryrecord.bmj.com/ on 30 August 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright

- 4 Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S. Potential transmission of West Nile virus in the British Isles: an ecological review of candidate mosquito bridge vectors. *Med Vet Entomol* 2005;19:2–21.
- 5 Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S. Possible ecology and epidemiology of medically important mosquito-borne arboviruses in Great Britain. *Epidemiol Infect* 2007;135:466–82.
- 6 Bunning ML, Bowen RA, Cropp CB, *et al.* Experimental infection of horses with West Nile virus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;8:380–6.
- **7** Chapman GE, Baylis M, Archer D, *et al.* The challenges posed by equine arboviruses. *Equine Vet J* 2018;50:436–45.
- 8 Becker N, Jöst H, Ziegler U, et al. Epizootic emergence of Usutu virus in wild and captive birds in Germany. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e32604.
- **9** Swayne DE, Beck JR, Zaki S. Pathogenicity of West Nile virus for turkeys. *Avian Dis* 2000;44:932.
- **10** Chvala S, Bakonyi T, Hackl R, *et al.* Limited pathogenicity of Usutu virus for the domestic chicken (*Gallus domesticus*). *Avian Pathol* 2005;34:392–5.
- 11 Phipps LP, Duff JP, Holmes JP, et al. Surveillance for West Nile virus in British birds (2001 to 2006). Vet Rec 2008;162:413–5.
- 12 Brugman VA, Horton DL, Phipps LP, et al. Epidemiological perspectives on West Nile virus surveillance in wild birds in Great Britain. Epidemiol Infect 2013;141:1134–42.
- 13 Horton DL, Lawson B, Egbetade A, et al. Targeted surveillance for Usutu virus in British birds (2005–2011): TABLE 1:. Vet Rec 2013;172:17.2–17.
- 14 Vaux AG, Gibson G, Hernandez-Triana LM, et al. Enhanced West Nile virus surveillance in the North Kent marshes, UK. Parasit Vectors 2015;8:91.
- 15 Buckley A, Dawson A, Moss SR, et al. Serological evidence of West Nile virus, Usutu virus and Sindbis virus infection of birds in the UK. J Gen Virol 2003;84:2807–17.
- 16 Buckley A, Dawson A, Gould EA. Detection of seroconversion to West Nile virus, Usutu virus and Sindbis virus in UK sentinel chickens. *Virol J* 2006;3:71.
- 17 Brugman VA. Host selection and feeding preferences of farm-associated mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in the United Kingdom, 2016.
- 18 Boukraa S, de La Grandiere MA, Bawin T, et al. Diversity and ecology survey of mosquitoes potential vectors in Belgian equestrian farms: A threat prevention of mosquito-borne equine arboviruses. Prev Vet Med 2016;124:58–68.
- 19 Chapman GE, Archer D, Torr S, *et al.* Potential vectors of equine arboviruses in the UK. *Vet Rec* 2017;180:19.
- 20 Brugman VA, Hernández-Triana LM, England ME, et al. Blood-feeding patterns of native mosquitoes and insights into their potential role as pathogen vectors in the Thames estuary region of the United Kingdom. Parasit Vectors 2017;10:163.
- 21 Brugman VA, England ME, Stoner J, *et al.* How often do mosquitoes bite humans in southern England? A standardised summer trial at four sites reveals spatial, temporal and site-related variation in biting rates. *Parasit Vectors* 2017;10:420.
- 22 Silver JB. Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods. 3rd edn. Springer: Netherlands, 2008.
- 23 Cerný O, Votýpka J, Svobodová M. Spatial feeding preferences of ornithophilic mosquitoes, blackflies and biting midges. *Med Vet Entomol* 2011;25:104–8.
- 24 Balenghien T, Fouque F, Sabatier P, et al. Horse-, bird-, and human-seeking behavior and seasonal abundance of mosquitoes in a West Nile virus focus of southern France. *J Med Entomol* 2006;43:936–46.

- 25 L'Ambert G, Ferré JB, Schaffner F, et al. Comparison of different trapping methods for surveillance of mosquito vectors of West Nile virus in Rhône Delta, France. J Vector Ecol 2012;37:269–75.
- **26** Ventim R, Ramos JA, Osório H, *et al*. Avian malaria infections in western European mosquitoes. *Parasitol Res* 2012;111:637–45.
- 27 Jaenson TGT, Niklasson B. Feeding patterns of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in relation to the transmission of Ockelbo disease in sweden. *Bull Entomol Res* 1986;76:375.
- **28** Service MW. The use of traps in sampling mosquito populations. *Entomol Exp Appl* 1969;12:403–12.
- 29 Laird M. The natural history of larval mosquito habitats: Academic Press Ltd, 1988.30 Cranston PS, Ramsdale CD, Snow KR, *et al*. Adults, larvae and pupae of British
- mosquitos (Culicidae) A Key: Freshwater Biological Association, 1987.
- Snow KR. Mosquitoes. (Naturalists' Handbook 14): Richmond Publishing Co. Ltd, 1990.
   Brugman VA. Hernández-Triana LM. Proscor SW. et al. Malagular appaire identification.
- 32 Brugman VA, Hernández-Triana LM, Prosser SW, et al. Molecular species identification, host preference and detection of myxoma virus in the Anopheles maculipennis complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in southern England, UK. Parasit Vectors 2015;8:421.
- 33 Medlock JM, Vaux AG. Distribution of West Nile virus vector, *Culex modestus*, in England. *Vet Rec* 2012;171:278.4–278.
- **34** Lundström JO, Chirico J, Folke A, *et al*. Vertical distribution of adult mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in southern and central Sweden. *J Vector Ecol* 1996;21:159–66.
- **35** Bellini R, Veronesi R, Draghetti S, *et al.* Study on the flying height of *Aedes caspius* and *Culex pipiens* females in the Po Delta area, Italy. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 1997;13:356–60.
- 36 Morris CD, Baker WG, Stark L, *et al*. Comparison of chickens and pheasants as sentinels for eastern equine encephalitis and St. Louis encephalitis viruses in Florida. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 1994;10:545–8.
- 37 Snow RW, Jawara M, Curtis CF. Observations on Anopheles gambiae Giles s.l. (Diptera: Culicidae) during a trial of permethrin-treated bed nets in The Gambia. Bull Entomol Res 1987;77:279.
- 38 Service MW. A critical review of procedures for sampling populations of adult mosquitoes. *Bull Entomol Res* 1977;67:343.
- **39** Darbro JM, Harrington LC. Bird-baited traps for surveillance of West Nile mosquito vectors: effect of bird species, trap height, and mosquito escape rates. *J Med Entomol* 2006;43:83–92.
- **40** Medlock JM, Leach SA. Effect of climate change on vector-borne disease risk in the UK. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015;15:721–30.
- **41** Chapman GE, Baylis M, Archer DC. Survey of UK horse owners' knowledge of equine arboviruses and disease vectors. *Vet Rec* 2018:1–9.
- **42** Floore TG. Mosquito larval control practices: past and present. *JAm Mosq Control Assoc* 2006;22:527–33.
- 43 Department of health. West Nile virus: a contingency plan to protect the public's health, 2004.
- **44** Brugman V, Hernández-Triana L, Medlock J, *et al.* The role of *culex pipiens* l. (diptera: culicidae) in virus transmission in Europe. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018;15:389.

Check for updates